MEMORANDUM

TO:

JOHN E. HOWARD

Chief Deputy District Attorney

FROM:

SIDNEY D. TRAPP, JR.

Deputy District Attorney

SUBJECT:

DESCRIPTION OF SIRHAN CASE EXHIBITS

DATE:

JUNE 7, 1971

On June 2, 1971, the undersigned accompanied by John Howard visited the Supreme Court Clerk's Office, Old State Building, Los Angeles, California, for the purpose of viewing several Sirhan exhibits which had been delivered to that office from San Francisco at the request of attorney George Shibley.

The following exhibits were present and were examined in the presence of the Supreme Court clerk:

Exhibit 6

Iver-Johnson .22 caliber revolver, serial number H-53725. This was contained within one large manila envelope which bore the inscription on the outside "Number 6 and 7." It is prosumed that since the transcript indicates that the gun was numbered "6" that this is its present number. No exhibit 7 appeared within the envelope.

Exhibit 47

A bullet, .22 caliber long rifle. This was old Grand Jury exhibit number 5-A. The envelope in which the bullet was found bears Coroner's remarks and DeWayne Wolfer's initials "DW".

Exhibit 48

Contains SUS items number 26 and 27. There was an envelope within an envelope. Contained therein was one vial with a two gram item (believed to be the same as in the photograph number 24), one vial with a black top containing gauze and

bullet fragments. This vial was numbered 24 and is believed to contain the same fragments as were exhibited in the photograph number 24.

Exhibit 49

This was SUS item number 24 and is a picture of a 25.5 grain bullet fragment and a small 2 grain bullet fragment.

Note: This is believed to be a photographic reproduction of the items contained within exhibit 48.

Exhibit 50

This was item number 57 in the SUS series. Contained within were two bullet fragments. The envelope indicates the bullet fragments were taken from victim Schrade. Within the envelope is a vial containing two fragments. Dr. Fuchs' name appears and DeWayne Wolfer's initials "DW" also appear.

Exhibit 51

Is item number 105 in the SUS series. Believed to be the Stroll bullet. Stroll's name appears on the outside of the envelope. There are two evidence envelopes and within the two evidence envelopes is one coin envelope containing one expended bullet. The initials "DW" appear on the bullet.

Exhibit 52

This contains items number 113, 114 and 115 from the SUS series. These are one bullet expended, .22 caliber; one medical treatment slip bearing the name "Goldstein"; and one ambulance receipt. There are two evidence envelopes, one within the other. The inside envelope contains a jar bearing the name "Goldstein", "Dr. Finkel", the initials "DW". Within the jar was one bullet bearing the initials "DW".

Exhibit 53

This was item 45 in the SUS series. Contained two envelopes. Within the envelopes were two bullet fragments believed to be the Evans fragments. The envelope bears the name "Evans".

Exhibit 54

This is item 56 in the SUS series. It's the Weisel expended bullet. Also contained therein is a Kaiser tissue examination. The bullet contains the initials "DW".

Exhibit 55

Contains three expended .22 caliber slugs and two expended casings. The envelope bears the inscription "I and J .22, serial number H-18602, Cadet model." The initials "DW" appear on each slug.

This appears to be the extent of the evidence in the custody of the Supreme Court Clerk's Office of Los Angeles on June 2, 1971.

SDT:jw

450 1084	10.7 (REV.FEB.1964)			<u></u>		
PROPERTY		EVIDENCE		PROPERTY JUNE		DR NO.
BOOKED TO	I CARD	FOUND EVIDENCE	BOOKING	EEPING	-5, 1968	68 521 466
	DOE, John #1		1,95	1	t. Murder	7
LOCATION	Jozy Com // I		SOOKED	777 1 10	o. Marcer	DIV. AND DETAIL
	Rampart Dets		Calle	ins //7287	•	HD
INVESTIGAT	ORS	DIV		NT-DATE HOLD UNT	IL REASON	<u>'</u>
		<u> </u>				
OWNER (FO	und Property—Safekeeping O	NL Y)	ADDRESS			
BIN NO.	ARTICLES		DATE OUT	TAKEN OUT BY	DATE IN	RETURNED BY
PH	Ser \sqrt{n} 5372 G: 22 cal Iver J	ohnson rev	JUN 5 - 1984	والخرج عليا	אטנ - 1988	و العالى معلوم اله و الم
	&- expended c	ases #11	JUH 5 - 1958	14.21:	JUN 5- 1962	الر الم
P	KG: 22 CAL RA	(J. /h)	JUN 5 - 1966	L. L.		لشهرر
	s spent ros	<u>(</u>	มเห 5 - 1968	W WAS	0	
		// /			7-19-4 Total 1771	#10 24 1969
	PKG- ALL	Conten	S. [RB	ns 1050	し トーカップ	- 1368
	PKG- ALC	Conten	IS (RB	28 1050		E C 1366
	PKG- ALC	Conten	LS (RB	ns 1030		5

1

ं

ж.

APD FORM #0.2.1 PROPERTY/PRISOHER TRANSFER RECORD .. AGE 11 195 EVIDENCE FOUND PROPERTY-HOLD FOR DANER FOUND EXIDERACE PERSONAL PROPERTY SAFEKEEPING PRISONER INSTRUCTIONS: MAR. (X) APTHOMPHATE BOX FOR TYPE OF TRANSFER. MARK (X) "PRISONER" AND "REPORT" COLUMNS WHEN PHISOMER IN CUSTODY, MARK (X) "REPORT" COLUMN ONLY WHEN PRISONER IS "OUT ON BAIL." 1968 NAME OF PERSON PROPERTY BOOKED TO BE DATE BEO. & DR. PRIS. REPT. PKG .. BOL GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY OF BOOKING NO. (x) (X) CIN- - TGD Ser H 53725 6-5-68 521 466 DOE, John #1 X PKC: .22 Cal Iver Johnson Item 11 E-.Expended Cases
Item 12 PKG: .22 Cal Slug Item 11B MT Slip Item 114 Receipt Item 115 FECT IS FILE 401 2 L 1/18 GIVISION TRANSFERRING DESK OFFICER Central Property SUS

The	a document corradorates gun ,	45372 -was transferred 8-24-	68 - sec Property Card
7			STATE THE STATE OF
, ,	PROPERTY REPORTS	Evidence D Found D Safekeoping	DR68-521-466
	STROPY LYDONESS	PERSON PROPERTY BOOKED TO CLAN-True-middle)	RES PHONE DUS PHONE
	2 TRANS	1 Dog Joan #1	
	BESIDENCE ADDRESS	ARRESTEC'S NAME	CHARGE BKG. NO.
	CHCE ADDRESS	VICTIM OR OWNER'S NAME	ALT P.C. 490739
	1		BOS. PRORE
	RESIDENCE ADDRESS	PERSON REPORTING TO POLICE DEPT. CLASS FIFTH, MIGHEL	RES. PHONE BUS. PHONE
	BATE & TIME THIS REPORT PROP. BKD. AT INV. DIV.	DATE & TIME PROPERTY TAKEN INTO POLICE CUSTODY - LOCATION -	
	6-5-68 50H C.P. Rowper	6-5-68 195A1 ROMPORT	
0	Evidence: BOOK TO ARRESTEE, IF NONE, BOOK TO VICTIM.	TYPE OF PREMISES TYPE OF PROPERTY	DIV. NOTC.
18	Found Evidence: BOOK TO OFFICER IF NO ARRESTEE OR VICTIM.	16: STATION REVOLUE	
~	Found Property: BOOK TO ACTUAL FUNDER. Safekooping: BOOK TO DEPOSITOR, OWNER OR PERSON IN LAWFUL POSSESSION.	PLUL PART 6-68 LEIME CONNECTING	REPORTS BY TYPE & DR.
10-	POSSESSION. (Separate reports are required for each different	IS THIS STOLEN PROPERTY? PROBABLE CRIME	Prints - Photo - \$.1.0 Other
K	classification of property)	LYES LIND BUNKNOWN DIT MUROS	
1 %	CD IF SIGLEN IN CITY OF LOS ANGELES EACH CASE MUST BE COVERED BY ERTY. L3 GIVE ADDRESS, TIME AND/OR PHONE NUMB ITEM GUAR-1 IF NOT LISTED. OR OTHER THAN ABOVE. (4) ITEM/ID.	CRIME AND POLLOW-UP REPORTS. (2) DESCRIBE CIRCUMSTANCES R ER WHERE CONCERNED PERSON(S) MAY BE CONTACTED BY DAY INVEST AND DESCRIBE ALL PROPERTY. GIVE ALL SERIAL NUMBERS AND OTHER	ESULTING IN BOOKING OF THIS PROP- IGATORS R MARKS SERIAL NUMBER(S)
3	NO. TITY OF IDENTIFICATION, LIST ONLY ONE ARTICLE ON A LIST	E.	AND ENGRAVING
	V		
	MIX GUN REVOLUTE IVE	SHOT CAL 22	#H537725
	BYS ZA"BBL &	55. W) TOHE	
8 /			
/			
	h-+	~	
		CAL. 22 "CCI ON BAS	E
	NOT MARKED FOR	I.D	
1			
	DVICTUN		
		The state of the s	
	TOWN THE CONTRACTOR	Facility	
		From RAFER Jourson	
	- TROMINARI DEIS ON	_6-5-68 AT 145=11	
			
	ITEMS# 12 OBTAIN	ED FROM RAFER JOHN	sod
	L LAI RAMPART DET	7 ON 6-5-68 AT 145	am !
		**	
		MOED OF PROVE RENDI	7.7.2 4
	h	·	
	(L)	TO SID FOR PRINT	C13412777
	OF EXPENDED I	BRASS CIGES I LY	
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	W 2 1 1 C
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	<del></del>	-1-1-1	4174-11
			Y1-11-22-11
•		- ALCAY	
	Tradditional space is acounted, use Consumulated Sheet, Form 35.9.  SUPERVISO, APPROVING  SUPERVISO, APPROVING	PERSON REPORTING IS	ignature)
	TYNT HERE THERE REL	LALLETTES 7287 BILX	
	LATE OF THE PRODUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE SAME OF	M'GANN 10829	CHECKED
		PROPERTY REPORT	
	Firm 10.1 (Rm. Fab. 1962)	INVIERTI REPURI	$\langle ij \rangle$

Note Jun 453725 & exp. shell casings transferred to 5458-24-68 Rose time Morgan research

```
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
   STATEMENT OF DEWAYNE WOLFER, TAKEN BY JOHN E. HOWARD,
   CHIEF DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, IN THE OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT
   ATTORNEY, ROOM 602, HALL OF JUSTICE, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA,
   ON TUESDAY, JUNE 29, 1971, AT 3:45 P.M.
10
11
   File No:
12
   Offense:
              Sirhan, Kirschke & Terry Cases Inquiry
13
   Title:
              John E. Howard
   Deputy:
14
                           Questions by:
                                          John E. Howard
15
16
                                          Alice MacArthur, L.S.R.
                           Reported by:
17
18
19
20
         re brimping & identification bet longs. of peo 47 + feet bullet
21
22
                          see pps. 6, 9, 10
24
25
26
                                    E. H. pg 1
```

76T576A-3/71

It was, yes. It was not only described 2 to me, but the reports in my presence also indicated it was 3 the gun taken from Sirhan. You talked to actual people that saw it happen? Α Yes. 7 Q And you had that gun in your custody then for some period of time prior to the Grand Jury? Α Yes. 10 Did you do some testing of that gun 11 concerning a water tank? 12 Α I did, yes. 13 Would you tell us how that works? 14 I took Sirhan's gun and I took live rounds of what we call CCI ammunition, which were hollow point, and for this particular reason I took the ends of the 17 bullets and mashed the hollow point shut so I could fire 18 it in the water tank without the bullets expanding or 19 blowing up. I loaded the Sirhan weapon. I placed it L 20 bver the opening in the top of the ten foot water tank in the police building. I fired the qun into the water tank to ₹ 28 recover the bullets. 25 Now, this Sirhan gun was a revolver. Is that right? 26

Ex. A gr

106

I put them in a manila envelope and sometime later I made up the name and address.

Why did you want to keep three of them out?

Because all of the bullets had not been identified, as I recall. There were some of the bullets in the victim that had not been recovered, that we were to take out at a later time.

We attempted to take out -- at a later time.

- What did you mark the four bullets with? Did you mark them in any way, the bullets that went in to the Grand Jury?
  - I put my initials on them, yes.
- Which one of the four did you identify as the Q matching bullet taken from the victim?
- I did not identify any of those four. I said these were bullets that were used. But actually one of the three bullets that I took -- the better bullets -- would be one of the better matching bullets.
- Now, the bullets in the Grand Jury became what exhibit, do you recall?
  - I would have no idea. Α
  - Q All right.

Well, you testified in the Grand Jury proceedings that the bullets that were contained -- the four bullets that were contained in the envelope, whatever designation it had, matched, the bullets taken from the victim; is that correct?

> The Kennedy? Α

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

People's Exhibit	Panel ID	
No.	No.	Description
52		Envelope marked: Evidence Date: 6-5-68 Div. Central DR. #68-521466 Name: Sirhan, Sirhan B. Booking # 495139 Location: 16237 Ventura Blvd. Charge 187 P.C. Officer: Feddema 11044 Div. W. Valley Item #113 - 1-Bullet expended .22 cal/ Goldstein
	6	Contents: Vial: Bullet from Ira Goldstein Age 19 Dr. M. Finkel Initialed DW P-ID-6 l copper colored coated bullet, .22 L.R., hollow point marked "DW" on nose, marked 6 on base.
53		Envelope Tagged, Case # A 233 421 Envelope marked: Evidence Date: 6-6-68 Div: RAMP DR# 68-521466 Name: Sirhan, Sirhan B. Booking # 495139 Officer: Brandt 10004 RAMP Item #45 2 bullet fragments  Evans
	7	Contents: Gauze containing approx. 5 fragments. Largest fragment copper coated lead, flattened.
54		Tagged Envelope, Case # A 233 421 Envelope marked: Evidence Date: 6-6-68 Div: RAMP DR# 68-521466 Name: Sirhan, Sirhan B. Booking # 495139 Location: Kaiser Hospital Offense: 187 P.C. Officer: L.M. Orozco 11072 RAMP Item #56 1- bullet expended Weisel
	8	Contents: Vial: Weisel, William 6/5/68 Initialed "DW" 1-copper colored coated bullet, .22 L.R. hollow point marked LM on base DW on ogive, 8 on nose.
55		Tagged Envelope, Case # A 233 421 Envelope marked: Los Angeles Police Dept. Crime Lab Test Shot Name: Sirhan, S. B. Date: 6-6-68 Make: I&J Cal22 Type: Rev. No. H 18602 DR # 68-521466 Crime: 187 P.C. H- 18602 - Cadet Model
	A B C	<ul><li>.22 LR HP Copper coated "DW" on crimped nose</li><li>.22 LR HP Copper coated "DW" on crimped nose</li><li>.22 LR HP Copper coated "DW" on crimped nose</li></ul>

People's Exhibit No.	Panel ID No.	Description
5B (Grand Jury)		Tagged envelope, Case # A 233 421 Envelope marked: Victim: Robert Kennedy Los Angeles Police Dept. Crime Lab Test Shot Name: Doe, John Date 6-5-68 Address: Make: Iver Johnson Cal22 Type: Rev. No. H53725 DR# 68-521466 Crime: 217 P.C. Officer: Melendez Signed: DeWayne A. Wolfer
	D E F G	Contents:  .22 LR HP Copper coated, No ID, "D" on nose.  .22 LR HP Copper coated, No ID, "E" on nose.  .22 LR HP Copper coated, No ID, "F" on nose.  .22 LR HP Copper coated, No ID, "G" on nose.
6		Tagged Envelope, Case # A 233 421 Envelope Marked: Evidence Date: 6-5-68 Div: H.D. DR# 68-521 466 Name: Doe, John Booking # 495 139 Location: Rampart Charge: 217 P.C. Officer: Calkins 7237 Div: HD 1-Revolver Cal22
		Contents: Revolver, Iver Johnson "Cadet", caliber .22 LR. eight shot, blued steel, serial number: H53725, top strap marked: Iver Johnson Cadet Mod. 55-SA Pat. Pend. I. J. A. & C. Wks. Fitchburg, Mass. U.S.A.

and the contraction of the contr

A form was designed so that each panel member could record data, based on his personal observation of the evidence. This form, when completed by all examiners, was used as a basis for the first joint report, as authorized by paragraph 1, Court Order #4, which reads:

"The panel of firearems examiners shall file joint reports as to:

- (a) their determination whether or not the condition of any of the exhibits is such that at the present time a reliable firearms identification can be made, and
- (b) their determination of the characteristics specified on pate 8, lines 1 through 8, of the Order for Retesting of Exhibits dated September 18, 1975."

The joint report with a sampling of the individual worksheets follows.

1 exhibit 52, he wrote that the mark on the bullet he received was

- z "6".
- 3 8. Therefore, the inescapable conclusion -speculation aside- on
- 4 these facts is that, at least with respect to the neck and the
- 5 Goldstein bullets, the evidence placed before the Judge and the
- 6 jury consisted of other bullets substituted for the actual
- 7 pullets which were removed from the victims.
- 9. This being the case DeWayne Wolfer had to have committed
- 9 perjury when he testified that he matched test fires from
- 10 Petitioner's gun, to the exclusion of all other weapons, with
- 11 the Kennedy neck and Goldstein bullets.
- 12 10. Wolfer also committed perjury when he testified that he
- 13 conducted further lests using a weapon that was of an identical
- 14 make and model to Petitioner's pistol when as a matter of fact
- 15 the pistol he used-H18602- was not an Ivar Johnson but a
- 16 Richardson weapon. (what is P'o source?)
- 17 11. Detense Counsel Grant Cooper was under a pending indictment
- 18 throughout the Trial.
- 19 12. Defense Counsel, did no independent testing of Petitioner's
- 20 gun but instead agreed to stipulate to the introduction of the
- 21 ballistics evidence agreeing in every instance that the origin
- 22 of the bullets was whatever the prosecution represented.
- 23 13. This stipulation followed ADA fitts statement, on the
- 24 record, before the Court, that the prosecution had no foundation
- 25 for the ballistics evidence it was going to present.

Brond of -71 -71

On June 10, 1968, Wolfer obtained a second H&R .22 caliber revolver from Property Division, Serial Number H18602. He obtained this weapon in order to conduct certain tests at the scene of the crime and to establish the distance at which Sirhan fired into Kennedy's head. He used this weapon, which was of the same class as the murder weapon, because the Sirhan weapon had been admitted into evidence at the Grand Jury hearing and was not readily available. He did not recover any bullets fired during the test described above.

On a date subsequent to June 10, 1968, Wolfer placed the three test bullets from the Sirhan gun he had retained in his personal custody since the Grand Jury hearing in an evidence envelope and mistakenly entered the serial number of the second weapon, H18602, on that envelope. This clerical error proved of no consequence because Wolfer testified at the trial that the bullets handed to him in the course of the trial and identified as Exhibit 55 were the bullets he had initially fired from the Sirhan gun and were those over which he had retained custody. He testified these bullets had been fired by him personally from the Sirhan weapon, Serial Number H53725; and neither Wolfer, the Court, the prosecutor, nor the defense noticed the inaccurate serial number on the evidence envelope, Exhibit 55.

Wolfer stated he did not violate Precept Number 1.

In regard to the application of Precept Number 2 in the Sirhan case, Wolfer stated that it is baseless, since he testified in court that he had used a different weapon for the sound and powder pattern test and testified, both on direct—and cross—examination, to that effect, explaining that a weapon from the same class, using ammunition from the same batch purchased from the identical store from which Sirhan purchased his ammunition, was similar enough to satisfy requirements for the tests he conducted.

Wolfer further denied violating Precept Number 3 in the Sirhan case. He stated his testimony regarding the lands and grooves on the bullets that were damaged in the Sirhan case could possibly have come from Sirhan's gun because he was able to establish class characteristics on the damaged bullets. He did not testify they came from Sirhan's gun and no other. Therefore, he did not violate Precept Number 3.

With regard to Precept Number 4, Wolfer stated he offered testimony in the Sirhan trial that the coating on the damaged bullets was the same as that of the undamaged bullets and that of the test bullets he obtained from the store at which Sirhan purchased his ammunition. He also testified that the damaged bullets taken from Sirhan's victims bore certain cannelure markings, or rings around the base, that are specific to the ammunition purchased by Sirhan. He did not violate Precept Number 4, which, correctly stated, should reflect that, although they may be similar, coatings on bullets of different manufacturers may be distinguished through spectrograph or chemical analysis.

Test firings were conducted on June 11, 1968, using a weapon and ammunition supplied by the Los Angeles Police Department as being of the most nearly identical manufacture possible to that of the fatal weapon. An area adjacent to the firing range on the Los Angeles Police Academy was utilized. Personnel consisted of Drs. Holloway and Noguchi, Mr. DeWayne Wolfer and Sgt. William J. Lee. Preliminary studies were with a target composed of a single layer of muslin over 3/8 inch (9 mm) gypsum board. The muzzle was perpendicular to the target unless otherwise noted.

A firm contact firing shows a circular defect about 3/8 inch (9 mm) in diameter, surrounded by a concentric zone of powder deposition about 7/8 inch (22 mm) in diameter and sometimes having a multi-laminar configuration at the periphery. These are on the outer surface of the muslin. Also evident on the under surface is a concentric zone of pale soot deposition about 3 inches (7.5 cm) in diameter.

At a 1/4 inch muzzle distance, there is a 5/16 by 1/4 inch (7.5 x 6 mm) defect with transverse ripping of the fabric over a zone 1-1/2 inches (3.8 cm) in length and about evenly divided bilaterally. Also present is a concentric zone of dense, dark gray discoloration one inch (2.5 cm) in diameter with irregular "clouding" within a zone up to 2-1/2 inches (6.3 cm) in diameter. Several faint radial smudges are identified as corresponding roughly with the known land-and-groove characteristics of the test weapon.

A firing at 1/2 inch muzzle distance is similar in configuration except for the absence of ripping of the target fabric and absence of land-and-groove "puffs." Visually detected powder residue is present in a zone having a maximum diameter of about 6 inches (15 cm).

At one inch distance there is the usual central defect and dense but comparatively homogeneous smudging up to a radius of 1-5/8 inches (4.2 mm).

A firing at 2 inch muzzle distance shows fairly homogeneous but comparatively lighter smudging up to a radius of 2-1/4 inches (5.6 cm). Discrete tattop particles are now seen in a central zone up to 7/8 inch (2.2 cm) in radius.

The 3 inch distance firing shows pale mottling of powder residue within a radius up to 2-1/4 inches (5.6 cm), as well as finely dispersed powder granules up to a radius of about 1-3/4 inches (4.4 cm).

At 4 inches there is a pale smudging zone up to 1-3/4 inches (4.4 cm) in radius. In sharp contrast, discrete powder tattoo particles are identified out to a radius as much as 2 inches (5 cm).

Target configuration was then changed as follows. A single layer of muslin was placed over several crumpled thicknesses of the same fabric. Additional firings at close contact, loose contact, 1/8 inch (3 mm), 1/4 inch (6.5 mm), all show patterns similar to those on the original target.

A series of firings was then performed using geometry simulating that of the fatal gunshot wound to the head, as determined by previous studies. The post-auricular region was simulated by the padded muslin described above. The ear was simulated by an animal ear obtained from an abbatoir and with the hair removed.

With the test weapon at an angle of 15 degrees upward and 30 degrees forward (to correspond with goniometric data) and at a distance of one inch (2.5 cm) from the edge of the right "ear," the test pattern is most similar to the powder residue pattern noted on the Senator's right ear and on hair specimens studied. Similarity persists, on the 2 inch (5 cm) distance firing, with respect to the distribution of discrete powder granules.